

Supreme Court Creates New Test That Could Grant Broader Copyright Protection to Clothing Designs

March 22, 2017

On Wednesday March 22, 2017, the Supreme Court of the United States held that the decorative elements of a cheerleading uniform – zig-zags, stripes and chevrons – are protected under copyright law. In this 6-2 written decision, the Court resolved the "widespread disagreement over the proper test" to determine whether and when useful articles, such as clothing, warrant copyright protection.

The Copyright Act affords limited protection to useful items, like clothing, in that copyright protection is only available to elements of the clothing that are capable of being "identified separately from, and ... existing independently of, the utilitarian aspects of the article." Simply put, the issue in *Star Athletics, L.L.C. v. Varsity Brands Inc.* was whether the zig-zags, stripes and chevrons on cheerleading uniforms are "separable" from the uniforms themselves and therefore copyrightable. Courts across the country have used at least ten unique tests for analyzing the issue of separability so, until today, the answer was far from clear. 3

In its decision, the Court laid out a simple two-part test for determining whether a feature incorporated into the design of a useful article is eligible for protection: (1) can the feature be perceived as a two- or three-dimensional work of art separate from the useful article (the "identification" requirement)?; and (2) would the feature qualify as a protectable pictorial, graphic, or sculptural work – either on its own or fixed in some other tangible medium of expression – if it were imagined separately from the useful article into which it is incorporated (the "imagining separately" requirement)? If so, then the feature of the design is eligible for protection.

The court wrote that applying the test to surface decorations on cheerleading uniforms is "straightforward": (1) One can *identify* the decorations as having "pictorial, graphic or sculptural qualities"; and (2) one can *imagine* these elements apart from the article – "if the arrangement of colors, shapes, stripes, and chevrons on the surface of the cheerleading uniforms were separated from the uniform and applied in another medium – for example, on a painter's canvas – they would qualify as 'two-dimensional ... works of ... art,' §101." Thus, the designs are protected.

The court clarified that the only feature of the uniform eligible for copyright protection is the art – the zig-zags, stripes and chevrons – itself, not the overall uniform. The decision does not grant respondent Varsity Brands, Inc. the right to prohibit any other person from manufacturing a cheerleading uniform of identical shape, cut or dimension.

The surprisingly simple two-part test will likely provide greater protection for fashion brands in copyright, who have traditionally turned to trademark and patent law to protect their designs.

For further information, please contact one of the lawyers listed here.

Notes

- 1. Star Athletics, L.L.C. v. Varsity Brands, Inc., No. 15-866, 2017 WL 1066261 (U.S. Mar. 22, 2017)
- 2. 17 U.S.C. § 101.
- 3. Varsity Brands, Inc. v. Star Athletics, LLC, 799 F.3d 468, 484-5, 487-8 (6th Cir. 2015)

This content is provided for general informational purposes only, and your access or use of the content does not create an attorney-client relationship between you or your organization and Cooley LLP, Cooley (UK) LLP, or any other affiliated practice or entity (collectively referred to as "Cooley"). By accessing this content, you agree that the information provided does not constitute legal or other professional advice. This content is not a

substitute for obtaining legal advice from a qualified attorney licensed in your jurisdiction, and you should not act or refrain from acting based on this content. This content may be changed without notice. It is not guaranteed to be complete, correct or up to date, and it may not reflect the most current legal developments. Prior results do not guarantee a similar outcome. Do not send any confidential information to Cooley, as we do not have any duty to keep any information you provide to us confidential. When advising companies, our attorney-client relationship is with the company, not with any individual. This content may have been generated with the assistance of artificial intelligence (AI) in accordance with our AI Principles, may be considered Attorney Advertising and is subject to our <u>legal notices</u>.

Key Contacts

Bobby Ghajar	bghajar@cooley.com
Santa Monica	+1 310 883 6404

This information is a general description of the law; it is not intended to provide specific legal advice nor is it intended to create an attorney-client relationship with Cooley LLP. Before taking any action on this information you should seek professional counsel.

Copyright © 2023 Cooley LLP, 3175 Hanover Street, Palo Alto, CA 94304; Cooley (UK) LLP, 22 Bishopsgate, London, UK EC2N 4BQ. Permission is granted to make and redistribute, without charge, copies of this entire document provided that such copies are complete and unaltered and identify Cooley LLP as the author. All other rights reserved.