

FCC's Space Bureau Reassesses Satellite Market Access Reciprocity

March 26, 2026

On March 2, 2026, the Federal Communication Commission's Space Bureau and Office of International Affairs released a [Public Notice](#) seeking comment on whether foreign jurisdictions provide domestic satellite operators with competitive opportunities that are comparable to those given to foreign operators in the domestic market. The FCC is considering the validity of its long-standing presumption that World Trade Organization-licensed satellite systems should be allowed access to domestic markets, due to changes in global regulatory approaches and its growing concern about whether domestic operators face equal treatment abroad.

The Space Bureau underscores how its current framework was built on an assumption from decades ago that trade commitments would allow for competitive satellite markets. In 1997, the FCC allowed non-US-licensed space stations to serve the domestic market, with the intent to provide more opportunities for domestic companies to enter into foreign markets and allow for a market with more global satellite services. By implementing domestic market-opening commitments in the WTO Basic Telecommunications Agreement (BTA), the FCC established a presumption in favor of granting market access to satellite systems that are licensed by WTO members for services that are covered by domestic commitments.

Regarding any satellite systems not covered by the WTO BTA, the FCC required foreign applicants who sought access to domestic markets to demonstrate that domestic-licensed operators have equally competitive opportunities to provide similar services in the relevant foreign markets, such as the country where the satellite was licensed and any country where communications between domestic earth stations will originate or terminate. This presumption required that foreign-licensed satellite operators satisfy the same technical and other applicable requirements that were imposed on domestic operators.

Thirty years after establishment of the presumption, the FCC poses that the international regulatory landscape has changed via various international treaties, thus requiring updates to the satellite framework. The FCC underscores that emerging foreign rules regarding issues such as licensing restrictions, national funding restrictions by foreign entities, fee discrepancies disadvantaging domestic operators, and ownership limits have established more barriers for domestic operators and may thus require closer FCC review of satellite market access reciprocity for foreign and domestic operators.

The FCC is looking to analyze the existing framework for the WTO-based presumption and whether it is still valid, in addition to whether the FCC should adopt more reciprocity rules or an "effective competitive opportunities" test for access to foreign markets. The FCC asks for input on how this assessment might be impacted by foreign treaty obligations, existing trade commitments and current market policies. In addition, the FCC seeks guidance on how to evaluate foreign regulations that negatively impact and disadvantage domestic satellite operators, what evidence can demonstrate that there is direct competitive harm, and how a revised approach should apply to both domestic and foreign operators.

In light of these considerations, the FCC seeks comments from satellite operators and relevant entities regarding the following issues:

- Whether there are cases where a presumption is applied, but there is a lack of effective competitive opportunities to provide analogous services in the country where the foreign licensed satellite operator is authorized to provide service.
- Whether the FCC should assess these competitive opportunities under a different framework than the one currently held.
- Whether a competitive reciprocal opportunities test by the FCC should apply equally to WTO and non-WTO foreign licensed systems.
- How this assessment may be guided by obligations under existing trade agreements.

Comments are due by **April 1, 2026**, and reply comments are due by **April 16, 2026**. If you have any questions or need assistance in drafting comments, please reach out to one of the Cooley lawyers listed below.

This content is provided for general informational purposes only, and your access or use of the content does not create an attorney-client relationship between you or your organization and Cooley LLP, Cooley (UK) LLP, or any other affiliated practice or entity (collectively referred to as "Cooley"). By accessing this content, you agree that the information provided does not constitute legal or other professional advice. This content is not a substitute for obtaining legal advice from a qualified attorney licensed in your jurisdiction, and you should not act or refrain from acting based on this content. This content may be changed without notice. It is not guaranteed to be complete, correct or up to date, and it may not reflect the most current legal developments. Prior results do not guarantee a similar outcome. Do not send any confidential information to Cooley, as we do not have any duty to keep any information you provide to us confidential. When advising companies, our attorney-client relationship is with the company, not with any individual. This content may have been generated with the assistance of artificial intelligence (AI) in accordance with our AI Principles, may be considered Attorney Advertising and is subject to our [legal notices](#).

Key Contacts

Robert M. McDowell Washington, DC	rmcdowell@cooley.com +1 202 842 7862
Tony Lin Washington, DC	tlin@cooley.com +1 202 728 7130
J.G. Harrington Washington, DC	jgharrington@cooley.com +1 202 776 2818
Henry Wendel Washington, DC	hwendel@cooley.com +1 202 776 2943
Giselle Alexandria Valdez San Diego	gvaldez@cooley.com +1 858 550 6094

This information is a general description of the law; it is not intended to provide specific legal advice nor is it intended to create an attorney-client relationship with Cooley LLP. Before taking any action on this information you should seek professional counsel.

Copyright © 2023 Cooley LLP, 3175 Hanover Street, Palo Alto, CA 94304; Cooley (UK) LLP, 22 Bishopsgate, London, UK EC2N 4BQ. Permission is granted to make and redistribute, without charge, copies of this entire document provided that such copies are complete and unaltered and identify Cooley LLP as the author. All other rights reserved.