

## California Supreme Court Rejects On-Duty or On-Call Rest&nbsp;Breaks

December 27, 2016

On December 22, 2016, the California Supreme Court addressed two related issues: (i) whether California law requires employers to permit off-duty rest periods – that is, time during which an employee is relieved from all work-related duties and free from employer control, and (ii) can an employer satisfy its obligation to relieve employees from work-related duties and employer control if the employer requires its employees to remain on-call during rest periods.

In *Augustus v. ABM Security Services, Inc.*, No. S224853, the Court concluded that California law prohibits on-duty and on-call rest periods, holding that during required rest periods, employers must "relieve their employees of all duties" and "relinquish any control" over how employees spend their break time – including the obligation that an employee remain on-call during a rest period.

In 2005, approximately 14,000 security guard employees of ABM Security Services, Inc. ("ABM") sued the company in a class action alleging that ABM's policy of requiring its security guards to keep their pagers and radios on during rest periods and to remain "vigilant and responsive to calls" during rest periods violated California's Labor Code and the applicable Industrial Welfare Commission wage order because such requirements deprived the employees of their rights to uninterrupted rest periods. The trial court ruled in favor of the employees and awarded approximately \$90 million in statutory damages, interest and penalties. The Court of Appeal reversed the trial court decision, holding that California law does not require employers to provide off-duty rest periods, and that "simply being on call" does not constitute performing work during a rest period. The security guard employees appealed to the California Supreme Court, which reversed the appellate court decision and reinstated the trial court ruling, concluding that California requires employers to provide their employees with rest periods that are free from work-related duties and employer control ("[a] rest period, in short, must be a period of rest").

Employers with nonexempt employees in California should review their policies in light of this decision to ensure that, during required rest periods, employees are free from all work-related duties and employer control (including any policies requiring employees to remain on-call).

Our lawyers have deep counseling and litigation experience on these issues. If you would like to discuss these issues further or have questions about this alert, please contact one of the lawyers listed here.

This content is provided for general informational purposes only, and your access or use of the content does not create an attorney-client relationship between you or your organization and Cooley LLP, Cooley (UK) LLP, or any other affiliated practice or entity (collectively referred to as "Cooley"). By accessing this content, you agree that the information provided does not constitute legal or other professional advice. This content is not a substitute for obtaining legal advice from a qualified attorney licensed in your jurisdiction and you should not act or refrain from acting based on this content. This content may be changed without notice. It is not guaranteed to be complete, correct or up to date, and it may not reflect the most current legal developments. Prior results do not guarantee a similar outcome. Do not send any confidential information to Cooley, as we do not have any duty to keep any information you provide to us confidential. This content may be considered **Attorney Advertising** and is subject to our [legal notices](#).

---

## Key Contacts

Frederick Baron Palo Alto	fbaron@cooley.com +1 650 843 5020
Ann Bevitt London	abevitt@cooley.com +44 (0) 20 7556 4264
Wendy Brenner Palo Alto	brennerwj@cooley.com +1 650 843 5371
Leslie Cancel San Francisco	lcancel@cooley.com +1 415 693 2175
Helenanne Connolly Reston	hconnolly@cooley.com +1 703 456 8685
Joshua Mates San Francisco	jmates@cooley.com +1 415 693 2084
Michael Sheetz Boston	msheetz@cooley.com +1 617 937 2330
Lois Voelz Palo Alto	lvoelz@cooley.com +1 650 843 5058

---

This information is a general description of the law; it is not intended to provide specific legal advice nor is it intended to create an attorney-client relationship with Cooley LLP. Before taking any action on this information you should seek professional counsel.

Copyright © 2023 Cooley LLP, 3175 Hanover Street, Palo Alto, CA 94304; Cooley (UK) LLP, 22 Bishopsgate, London, UK EC2N 4BQ. Permission is granted to make and redistribute, without charge, copies of this entire document provided that such copies are complete and unaltered and identify Cooley LLP as the author. All other rights reserved.