

Congress Passes Prohibition on Mandatory Arbitration of Certain #MeToo Claims

February 11, 2022

On February 10, 2022, the US Senate passed the Ending Forced Arbitration of Sexual Assault and Sexual Harassment Act of 2021. The Act, once signed into law by President Joe Biden, as expected, will amend the Federal Arbitration Act (FAA) to prohibit agreements that mandate arbitration of sexual harassment and sexual assault claims in advance of such conduct occurring.

The Act reflects a resurgence for the #MeToo movement at the federal level. While the movement spurred a wave of state legislation combating workplace sexual misconduct, efforts to prohibit mandatory arbitration of claims involving sexual misconduct have been less successful. Even when states such as New York and Washington enacted these prohibitions, they were overturned by courts due to the FAA's well-established preemptive effect over conflicting state arbitration laws. By amending the FAA, the Act eliminates this procedural obstacle.

What does the Act say?

Under the Act, a party to a “predispute arbitration agreement,” or an agreement to arbitrate a dispute that had not yet arisen at the time the parties enter the arbitration agreement, cannot enforce this arbitration agreement against a person who files a case alleging either (i) conduct that would constitute sexual harassment under federal, tribal, or state law (a “Sexual Harassment Dispute”) or (ii) nonconsensual sexual act or sexual contact (a “Sexual Assault Dispute”).

Similarly, in response to a Sexual Harassment Dispute or a Sexual Assault Dispute, a responding party cannot enforce a “predispute joint-action waiver,” which is an agreement, that would prohibit, or waive the right of a party to participate in a joint, class, or collective action in a judicial, arbitral, administrative, or other forum, concerning a dispute that has not yet arisen at the time of the making of the agreement. This limitation on joint-action waivers applies regardless of whether it is included in a predispute or postdispute arbitration agreement.

The Act notably does not extend to any other types of disputes, including those based on claims of discrimination or retaliation. It also would not apply to claims brought under local law.

Who determines if the Act applies?

The Act states that a court, rather than an arbitrator, will determine whether the Act applies to an agreement to arbitrate and whether such agreement is valid and enforceable under the Act. The court is not bound by the language of the arbitration agreement, including any language delegating such determination to an arbitrator. The court also must use federal law, meaning any conflicting state laws will be superseded.

Will the Act apply immediately?

Yes. Once signed, the Act will immediately apply to any dispute or claim that arises or accrues after the date of enactment. As it stands, the Act could jeopardize the enforceability of arbitration agreements that predate the Act's enactment.

Action items for businesses

While the Act obviously aims to give employees a path to bring sexual assault and harassment claims in a public

forum, the Act is notably not limited to just arbitration agreements between employers and employees. Any “predispute arbitration agreement” or “predispute joint-action waiver” will be governed by the Act. As such, in anticipation of these new restrictions on arbitration agreements, employers and others who utilize individualized arbitration agreements in the course of business should conduct a thorough review of their arbitration agreements and dispute resolution procedures to determine whether modifications are required.

We are closely tracking developments on the Act and will publish further guidance when additional information becomes available. If you have any questions related to the Act, please reach out to a member of the Cooley employment team.

This content is provided for general informational purposes only, and your access or use of the content does not create an attorney-client relationship between you or your organization and Cooley LLP, Cooley (UK) LLP, or any other affiliated practice or entity (collectively referred to as "Cooley"). By accessing this content, you agree that the information provided does not constitute legal or other professional advice. This content is not a substitute for obtaining legal advice from a qualified attorney licensed in your jurisdiction, and you should not act or refrain from acting based on this content. This content may be changed without notice. It is not guaranteed to be complete, correct or up to date, and it may not reflect the most current legal developments. Prior results do not guarantee a similar outcome. Do not send any confidential information to Cooley, as we do not have any duty to keep any information you provide to us confidential. When advising companies, our attorney-client relationship is with the company, not with any individual. This content may have been generated with the assistance of artificial intelligence (AI) in accordance with our AI Principles, may be considered Attorney Advertising and is subject to our [legal notices](#).

Key Contacts

Wendy Brenner Palo Alto	brennerwj@cooley.com +1 650 843 5371
Ann Bevitt London	abevitt@cooley.com +44 (0) 20 7556 4264
Gerard O'Shea New York	goshea@cooley.com +1 212 479 6704
Helenanne Connolly Reston	hconnolly@cooley.com +1 703 456 8685
Joshua Mates San Francisco	jmates@cooley.com +1 415 693 2084
Leslie Cancel San Francisco	lcancel@cooley.com +1 415 693 2175
Laura Terlouw San Francisco	lterlouw@cooley.com +1 415 693 2069

Miriam Petrillo Chicago	mpetrillo@cooley.com +1 312 881 6612
Ryan Vann Chicago	rhvann@cooley.com +1 312 881 6640
Summer Wynn San Diego	swynn@cooley.com +1 858 550 6030
Steven A. Zuckerman New York	szuckerman@cooley.com +1 212 479 6647

This information is a general description of the law; it is not intended to provide specific legal advice nor is it intended to create an attorney-client relationship with Cooley LLP. Before taking any action on this information you should seek professional counsel.

Copyright © 2023 Cooley LLP, 3175 Hanover Street, Palo Alto, CA 94304; Cooley (UK) LLP, 22 Bishopsgate, London, UK EC2N 4BQ. Permission is granted to make and redistribute, without charge, copies of this entire document provided that such copies are complete and unaltered and identify Cooley LLP as the author. All other rights reserved.