Cooley

USPTO Offers Guidance on Inventorship for Al-Human Collaborations

February 28, 2024

As global interest in artificial intelligence reaches a fever pitch, the US Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) has entered the conversation. On February 13, 2024, the USPTO published <u>Inventorship Guidance for AI-Assisted Inventions</u> in the Federal Register, explaining how the USPTO plans to assess inventorship for inventions that were "assisted by" AI, and soliciting public comment through an ensuing 90-day comment period. The guidance was prepared in response to the October 2023 <u>Executive</u> <u>Order on the Safe, Secure, and Trustworthy Development and Use of Artificial Intelligence</u>, which required the USPTO to "publish guidance to USPTO patent examiners and applicants addressing inventorship and the use of AI, including generative AI, in the inventive process, including illustrative examples in which AI systems play different roles in inventive processes and how, in each example, inventorship issues ought to be analyzed."

The USPTO's guidance is a reminder that patents serve to incentivize the ingenuity of humans (referred to as "natural persons"), and thus only humans – not AI – can be inventors. The guidance qualifies a human as an inventor on an AI-assisted invention if that human provided a "significant contribution" to the invention's conception. Although this "significant contribution" standard has historically been used in "**joint** inventorship" (emphasis added) contexts – for example, where two or more people took part in the inventing – it is nevertheless possible for a human meeting this standard to be the **sole** inventor of an AI-assisted invention.

The guidance also cautions that <u>the duty to disclose</u> all known information that is material to patentability includes a duty to disclose information related to improper inventorship. Patent applicants and others associated with prosecuting a patent application have an affirmative "duty of disclosure," to disclose to the USPTO any "information" that is "material to patentability." As incorrect inventorship is a ground of rejection, information about inventorship being incorrect (regardless of whether the invention is Al-assisted or not) is "material to patentability" and must be disclosed to the USPTO. So, the USPTO is reminding people that this obligation remains in place, as it is laying out an additional circumstance – human and AI collaborations – in which inventorship must be assessed.

Two hypothetical practical examples – "Transaxle for Remote Control Car" and "Developing a Therapeutic Compound for Treating Cancer" – were published on the USPTO's <u>Al-related resources webpage</u> in connection with the release of the guidance. These examples illustrate guiding principles set forth in the guidance which, while not dispositive on their own, are intended to facilitate inventorship analysis. Among these guiding principles are acknowledgements that the following may constitute significant contributions to an invention:

- 1. Designing, building or training an AI system.
- 2. Constructing an AI prompt "in view of a specific problem to elicit a particular solution."
- 3. Performing a successful experiment using an output of an AI system.

It is noted, however, that neither the ownership/oversight of an AI system nor the mere presentation of a problem to an AI system is itself sufficient to constitute a significant contribution to an invention.

This content is provided for general informational purposes only, and your access or use of the content does not create an

attorney-client relationship between you or your organization and Cooley LLP, Cooley (UK) LLP, or any other affiliated practice or entity (collectively referred to as "Cooley"). By accessing this content, you agree that the information provided does not constitute legal or other professional advice. This content is not a substitute for obtaining legal advice from a qualified attorney licensed in your jurisdiction, and you should not act or refrain from acting based on this content. This content may be changed without notice. It is not guaranteed to be complete, correct or up to date, and it may not reflect the most current legal developments. Prior results do not guarantee a similar outcome. Do not send any confidential information to Cooley, as we do not have any duty to keep any information you provide to us confidential. This content may have been generated with the assistance of artificial intelligence (AI) in accordance with our **Al Principles**, may be considered Attorney Advertising and is subject to our **legal notices**.

Key Contacts

| Dr. Lesly A. Steinbeck | lsteinbeck@cooley.com +1 703 456 6012 |
|------------------------|--|
| | |

This information is a general description of the law; it is not intended to provide specific legal advice nor is it intended to create an attorney-client relationship with Cooley LLP. Before taking any action on this information you should seek professional counsel.

Copyright © 2023 Cooley LLP, 3175 Hanover Street, Palo Alto, CA 94304; Cooley (UK) LLP, 22 Bishopsgate, London, UK EC2N 4BQ. Permission is granted to make and redistribute, without charge, copies of this entire document provided that such copies are complete and unaltered and identify Cooley LLP as the author. All other rights reserved.