

Cooley

April 18, 2014

In two recent decisions, the National Labor Relations Board (NLRB) held that non-union employer policies prohibiting negativity in the workplace are unlawful under the National Labor Relations Act (the Act). These decisions follow the NLRB's recent pattern of carefully scrutinizing non-union workplace policies for language that employees could reasonably construe as limiting their right to engage in "concerted activities for their mutual aid and protection."

In *Hill and Dales General Hospital*, 360 NLRB No. 70 (April 1, 2014), a hospital was experiencing poor employee morale and high turnover. In response, it asked a team of employees to develop a statement of Values and Standards of Employee Behavior, which included the following:

- We will not make negative comments about our fellow team members and we will take every opportunity to speak well of each other.
- We will represent Hill & Dales in the community in a positive and professional manner in every opportunity.
- We will not engage in or listen to negativity or gossip. We will recognize that listening without acting to stop it is the same as participating.

After the hospital terminated an employee for throwing a yogurt at a supervisor, the former employee aired her grievances on Facebook. In response, a current employee posted the following comment: "Holy sh-t rock on! Way to talk about the d-bags you used to work with. I LOVE IT!" When the hospital issued the current employee a written warning for violating the Values and Standards of Behavior, the employee filed an unfair labor charge with the NLRB.

In finding the policy unlawful, the NLRB concluded that the language was overbroad and could be reasonably construed by employees to prohibit them from criticizing managers, unfair labor practices, and the terms and conditions of their employment. The hospital plans to appeal the decision to the Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals. We expect that the Sixth Circuit will address, among other things, the validity of the holding in light of the NLRB's long-standing rule against addressing de minimis violations of the Act.

In *First Transit, Inc.*, 360 NLRB No. 72 (April 2, 2014), the Board similarly ruled that a handbook provision prohibiting "discourteous or inappropriate" behavior was unlawfully overbroad. According to the NLRB, employees could reasonably construe the policy as prohibiting them from communicating about their employment because "no wording provides a context limiting the rule to legitimate business concerns such as uncooperation with supervisors." While the handbook did contain a "Freedom of Association" provision, which included a pledge from the employer not to interfere with collective employee action, the NLRB found the provision inadequate because it was neither "prominent nor proximate" to the unlawful language.

What this means for employers

Given the NLRB's continued focus in this area, both union and non-union employers should carefully examine workplace policies relating to employee communications and modify them as need. Employers should also consider consulting counsel before disciplining employees for violations of such policies.

This content is provided for general informational purposes only, and your access or use of the content does not create an attorney-client relationship between you or your organization and Cooley LLP, Cooley (UK) LLP, or any other affiliated practice or entity (collectively referred to as "Cooley"). By accessing this content, you agree that the information provided does not constitute

legal or other professional advice. This content is not a substitute for obtaining legal advice from a qualified attorney licensed in your jurisdiction, and you should not act or refrain from acting based on this content. This content may be changed without notice. It is not guaranteed to be complete, correct or up to date, and it may not reflect the most current legal developments. Prior results do not guarantee a similar outcome. Do not send any confidential information to Cooley, as we do not have any duty to keep any information you provide to us confidential. This content may have been generated with the assistance of artificial intelligence (AI) in accordance with our [AI Principles](#), may be considered Attorney Advertising and is subject to our [legal notices](#).

Key Contacts

Wendy Brenner Palo Alto	brennerwj@cooley.com +1 650 843 5371
Leslie Cancel San Francisco	lcancel@cooley.com +1 415 693 2175
Joshua Mates San Francisco	jmates@cooley.com +1 415 693 2084
Michael Sheetz Boston	msheetz@cooley.com +1 617 937 2330

This information is a general description of the law; it is not intended to provide specific legal advice nor is it intended to create an attorney-client relationship with Cooley LLP. Before taking any action on this information you should seek professional counsel.

Copyright © 2023 Cooley LLP, 3175 Hanover Street, Palo Alto, CA 94304; Cooley (UK) LLP, 22 Bishopsgate, London, UK EC2N 4BQ. Permission is granted to make and redistribute, without charge, copies of this entire document provided that such copies are complete and unaltered and identify Cooley LLP as the author. All other rights reserved.