

Soroban: An Update After US Tax Court Ruling

June 5, 2025

On May 28, 2025, the US Tax Court ruled that investment manager limited partners in Soroban Capital Partners were active limited partners and, as such, were ineligible for the limited partner exception to self-employment taxes described in Internal Revenue Code Section 1402(a)(13).¹

As discussed in this <u>December 2023 client alert</u>, in November 2023, the US Tax Court held that state law limited partners are not per se entitled to the limited partner exception because the limited partner exception does not apply to a partner who is limited in name only.² Instead, a functional analysis must be applied to determine whether the limited partner exception applies (the *Soroban* holding). The case subsequently went to trial to be determined on the facts.

Under a functional analysis, the taxpayer bears the burden of establishing that a partner is not a limited partner in name only based on all relevant facts and circumstances – including, for example, the partner's role in generating the partnership's income, the partner's role in managing the partnership, the partner's time devoted to the partnership's business, the extent to which the partner's role in the partnership's business is advertised and the partner's capital contributions to the partnership. In the US Tax Court's determination that the limited partner exception did not apply, and that the limited partners' earnings were not "investment" in nature, it noted that the limited partners oversaw day-to-day management, worked for Soroban full time and were held out to the public as essential to the business.

Although several taxpayers³ are challenging the *Soroban* holding, limited partners of investment managers claiming the limited partner exception should assess the application of the exception in their particular situation.

Notes

- 1. Soroban Capital Partners LP v. Commissioner, T.C. Memo. 2025-52.
- 2. Soroban Capital Partners LP v. Commissioner, 161 T.C. 310 (2023).
- 3. Denham Capital Management filed an appeal with the US Court of Appeals for the First Circuit regarding a US Tax Court holding that its limited partners are "active" limited partners ineligible for the limited partner exception; Sirius Solutions filed a similar appeal in the US Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit.

This content is provided for general informational purposes only, and your access or use of the content does not create an attorney-client relationship between you or your organization and Cooley LLP, Cooley (UK) LLP, or any other affiliated practice or entity (collectively referred to as "Cooley"). By accessing this content, you agree that the information provided does not constitute legal or other professional advice. This content is not a substitute for obtaining legal advice from a qualified attorney licensed in your jurisdiction, and you should not act or refrain from acting based on this content. This content may be changed without notice. It is not guaranteed to be complete, correct or up to date, and it may not reflect the most current legal developments. Prior results do not guarantee a similar outcome. Do not send any confidential information to Cooley, as we do not have any duty to keep any information you provide to us confidential. When advising companies, our attorney-client relationship is with the company, not with any individual. This content may have been generated with the assistance of artificial intelligence (AI) in accordance with our AI Principles, may be considered Attorney Advertising and is subject to our <u>legal notices</u>.

Key Contacts

Stephanie Gentile sgentile@cooley.com
New York +1 212 479 6531

Eileen Marshall	emarshall@cooley.com
Washington, DC	+1 202 728 7083
Aalok Virmani	avirmani@cooley.com
Chicago	+1 312 881 6432
Calvin Lee	calvin.lee@cooley.com
New York	+1 212 479 6378

This information is a general description of the law; it is not intended to provide specific legal advice nor is it intended to create an attorney-client relationship with Cooley LLP. Before taking any action on this information you should seek professional counsel.

Copyright © 2023 Cooley LLP, 3175 Hanover Street, Palo Alto, CA 94304; Cooley (UK) LLP, 22 Bishopsgate, London, UK EC2N 4BQ. Permission is granted to make and redistribute, without charge, copies of this entire document provided that such copies are complete and unaltered and identify Cooley LLP as the author. All other rights reserved.