

Cooley

March 18, 2015

A public official receives a bribe to award a contract. Does the bribe "belong" to the official or to the state that he or she represents? The answer to the question can matter a great deal to the success of a claim. But the issue has been controversial and the answer unclear in English law, particularly in recent years, because of conflicting decisions going back to 1890.

The English position was conclusively resolved last year by the [judgment](#) of the United Kingdom's Supreme Court in the case of FHR European Ventures LLP and others v Cedar Capital Partners LLC [2014] UKSC 45. Although it does not concern a bribe paid to a public official, the reasoning of the case is directly applicable to such bribes. A Court comprising seven members of the Supreme Court decided that, in English law, the bribe will belong to the state. The decision ensures that English law is identical to other major common law jurisdictions. A summary appears [here](#).

The legal concepts in issue are complex and involve (often unnecessarily) complicated language. This note will attempt, as far as possible, to avoid the use of technical terms. It is also concerned only with claims against the bribed officials. Other claims are, of course, available against bribe-payers, including claims for the amount of the bribes or losses suffered, and for the setting aside of contracts obtained by bribery.

[Read the full article \(pdf\)](#)

This content is provided for general informational purposes only, and your access or use of the content does not create an attorney-client relationship between you or your organization and Cooley LLP, Cooley (UK) LLP, or any other affiliated practice or entity (collectively referred to as "Cooley"). By accessing this content, you agree that the information provided does not constitute legal or other professional advice. This content is not a substitute for obtaining legal advice from a qualified attorney licensed in your jurisdiction, and you should not act or refrain from acting based on this content. This content may be changed without notice. It is not guaranteed to be complete, correct or up to date, and it may not reflect the most current legal developments. Prior results do not guarantee a similar outcome. Do not send any confidential information to Cooley, as we do not have any duty to keep any information you provide to us confidential. When advising companies, our attorney-client relationship is with the company, not with any individual. This content may have been generated with the assistance of artificial intelligence (AI) in accordance with our AI Principles, may be considered Attorney Advertising and is subject to our [legal notices](#).

Key Contacts

James Maton London	jmaton@cooley.com +44 20 7556 4547
Jamie Humphreys London	jhumphreys@cooley.com +44 (0) 20 7556 4419

This information is a general description of the law; it is not intended to provide specific legal advice nor is it intended to create an attorney-client relationship with Cooley LLP. Before taking any action on this information you should seek professional counsel.

Copyright © 2023 Cooley LLP, 3175 Hanover Street, Palo Alto, CA 94304; Cooley (UK) LLP, 22 Bishopsgate, London, UK EC2N 4BQ. Permission is granted to make and redistribute, without charge, copies of this entire document provided that such copies are complete and unaltered and identify Cooley LLP as the author. All other rights reserved.