

April 5, 2022

## Overview

On March 29, 2022, the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau issued a report raising concerns about the late fee practices of credit card issuers. Although the report provides a general assessment of credit card late fee trends, it was accompanied by a press release criticizing late fee penalties as a core part of the issuer profit model that obscures the true cost of credit. The report follows the CFPB's January initiative to gather information more generally regarding "back-end fees" and "junk fees" associated with a range of financial products and services, including deposit accounts, remittance and payment products, prepaid accounts, and other types of loans.

Pursuant to the Credit Card Accountability Responsibility and Disclosure (CARD) Act and its accompanying implementation regulation, Regulation Z, the CFPB is responsible for oversight of late fee policies to ensure that they are "reasonable and proportional." In implementing this regulation, the CFPB sets a "safe harbor" for specific fee amounts, which are subject to adjustment for changes in inflation. As of 2022, the safe harbor fee limit is \$30 for first-time late fees and \$41 for subsequent violations resulting in recurring fees. The CFPB calls into question whether it is considering the removal of the penalty fee safe harbor, as the report frequently draws attention to the common practice of the largest issuers in the marketplace, who set their penalty fees at or near the limits set out by Regulation Z and adjust them upward in accordance with yearly limit changes.

## Continued CFPB focus on vulnerable and minority populations

The CFPB's report finds that late fee assessments disproportionately fall upon credit card users in low credit score tiers, as well as low-income consumers and consumers in majority-Black neighborhoods. For example, the CFPB found that in 2019 nearly 60% of credit card accounts were held by super-prime consumers, but those accounts incurred – perhaps unsurprisingly – 20% of the total late fee volume. In an attempt to understand links between consumer race and late fee burden, the CFPB found that the average late fees per account increased with the share of the census tract population identifying as Black.

The CFPB also found that credit card fee volumes dropped significantly during the pandemic, and corresponded to the increase in federal government assistance. The CFPB characterized this finding as evidence that late fees serve as a penalty on households living paycheck to paycheck, and not as an incentive for consumers to make on-time payments.

## Insight

There is a distinct disconnect between the CFPB's press release, which highlights concerns regarding the impact of market competition on late fee assessment practices, and the report itself, which focuses on the incidence of late fee assessment on low-income borrowers and in minority communities. While the CFPB criticizes issuer reliance on late fees, it notes that issuers are complying with the CARD Act – the law enacted to protect cardholders – and does not specifically identify anti-competitive practices or demonstrate that late fee levels are inappropriate considering the cost of offering and administering credit cards.

The CFPB's analysis of late fee assessment in minority areas is also noteworthy in light of its March 16 announcement tying together theories of "unfairness" with discrimination. In that announcement, the CFPB indicated it will seek information during examinations to assess whether institutions understand demographic usage of financial products, as well as the fees and revenues associated with those products.

This content is provided for general informational purposes only, and your access or use of the content does not create an attorney-client relationship between you or your organization and Cooley LLP, Cooley (UK) LLP, or

any other affiliated practice or entity (collectively referred to as "Cooley"). By accessing this content, you agree that the information provided does not constitute legal or other professional advice. This content is not a substitute for obtaining legal advice from a qualified attorney licensed in your jurisdiction, and you should not act or refrain from acting based on this content. This content may be changed without notice. It is not guaranteed to be complete, correct or up to date, and it may not reflect the most current legal developments. Prior results do not guarantee a similar outcome. Do not send any confidential information to Cooley, as we do not have any duty to keep any information you provide to us confidential. When advising companies, our attorney-client relationship is with the company, not with any individual. This content may have been generated with the assistance of artificial intelligence (AI) in accordance with our AI Principles, may be considered Attorney Advertising and is subject to our [legal notices](#).

## Key Contacts

Elyse Moyer Washington, DC	<a href="mailto:emoyer@cooley.com">emoyer@cooley.com</a> +1 202 776 2113
Obrea Poindexter Washington, DC	<a href="mailto:opoindexter@cooley.com">opoindexter@cooley.com</a> +1 202 776 2997
Michelle L. Rogers Washington, DC	<a href="mailto:mrogers@cooley.com">mrogers@cooley.com</a> +1 202 776 2227

This information is a general description of the law; it is not intended to provide specific legal advice nor is it intended to create an attorney-client relationship with Cooley LLP. Before taking any action on this information you should seek professional counsel.

Copyright © 2023 Cooley LLP, 3175 Hanover Street, Palo Alto, CA 94304; Cooley (UK) LLP, 22 Bishopsgate, London, UK EC2N 4BQ. Permission is granted to make and redistribute, without charge, copies of this entire document provided that such copies are complete and unaltered and identify Cooley LLP as the author. All other rights reserved.