

California Supreme Court Concludes Calculation of Meal and Rest Period Premiums Based on ‘Regular Rate’

July 27, 2021

Under California law, nonexempt employees are entitled to certain meal and rest periods during the workday. Pursuant to California Labor Code Section 226.7, an employer who fails to provide meal or rest periods must provide the employee “premium pay,” in the form of an additional hour of pay, at the employee’s “regular rate of compensation” for each workday that a meal period or rest period is not provided.

Until recently, many employers and lower courts have interpreted the “regular rate of compensation” for premium pay to be just the employee’s base hourly wage, and have paid meal and rest break premiums accordingly. This is in contrast to how overtime pay is calculated, which must be at the “regular rate of pay” and includes not only the employee’s base hourly wage but also any nondiscretionary bonuses or other incentive payments. (See [the California Labor Commissioner’s Office website](#) for more guidance on how to calculate the regular rate of pay.)

Court shifts calculation

However, a recent decision from the California Supreme Court has concluded that, for purposes of paying meal and rest period premiums, employers must use the same “regular rate” calculation used for overtime, and thus must factor in nondiscretionary bonuses, incentives and other payments that go beyond the base hourly wage.

In the case of *Ferra v. Loews Hollywood Hotel, LLC*, a hotel employee challenged her employer’s failure to include her quarterly nondiscretionary incentive payments when calculating her regular rate of compensation in order to pay any meal or rest period premiums required under California Labor Code Section 226.7. For comparison, the California Supreme Court looked at the overtime provisions of Labor Code Section 510(a). The relevant provision states that employees who are eligible for overtime must be paid at no less than one-and-a-half times their “regular rate of pay.” The court found it was well established, in the overtime context, that “regular rate of pay” includes sources of pay that go beyond just an employee’s hourly wage.

Returning to Section 226.7, the California Supreme Court determined that the California Legislature intended the term “regular rate of compensation” to be synonymous to Section 510’s “regular rate of pay” in terms of its scope and meaning. Thus, the California Supreme Court held that Section 226.7 requires employers to factor in a wider range of payment sources when determining an employee’s regular rate of compensation for meal or rest period premiums, similar to how overtime pay is calculated. Despite the fact that this is contrary to how many employers have historically interpreted Section 226.7 and that this has long been an unsettled issue, the California Supreme Court made clear that the decision in *Ferra* is intended to operate prospectively and retroactively.

Recommendations for employers

Going forward, employers who need to provide meal or rest period premiums to employees under California Labor Code Section 226.7 should make sure that they apply the same “regular rate” calculation they would apply if paying overtime. As stated above,

this might include certain incentive payments and bonuses.

Employers can refer to the California Labor Commissioner's Office website for more information on how to calculate the "regular rate of pay" for the purposes of overtime and meal and rest period premium calculations. Your Cooley attorney can help confirm whether your current calculations are accurate.

Given that the California Supreme Court's ruling operates retroactively, employers should also seek legal counsel with respect to any exposure for past practices. If you have any questions, please reach out to a member of the Cooley employment team.

This content is provided for general informational purposes only, and your access or use of the content does not create an attorney-client relationship between you or your organization and Cooley LLP, Cooley (UK) LLP, or any other affiliated practice or entity (collectively referred to as "Cooley"). By accessing this content, you agree that the information provided does not constitute legal or other professional advice. This content is not a substitute for obtaining legal advice from a qualified attorney licensed in your jurisdiction and you should not act or refrain from acting based on this content. This content may be changed without notice. It is not guaranteed to be complete, correct or up to date, and it may not reflect the most current legal developments. Prior results do not guarantee a similar outcome. Do not send any confidential information to Cooley, as we do not have any duty to keep any information you provide to us confidential. This content may be considered **Attorney Advertising** and is subject to our [legal notices](#).

Key Contacts

Selin Akkan Palo Alto	sakkan@cooley.com +1 650 843 5076
Ann Bevitt London	abevitt@cooley.com +44 (0) 20 7556 4264
Wendy Brenner Palo Alto	brennerwj@cooley.com +1 650 843 5371
Leslie Cancel San Francisco	lcancel@cooley.com +1 415 693 2175
Helennane Connolly Reston	hconnolly@cooley.com +1 703 456 8685
Joshua Mates San Francisco	jmates@cooley.com +1 415 693 2084
Gerard O'Shea New York	goshea@cooley.com +1 212 479 6704

Miriam Petrillo Chicago	mpetrillo@cooley.com +1 312 881 6612
Ryan Vann Chicago	rhvann@cooley.com +1 312 881 6640
Summer Wynn San Diego	swynn@cooley.com +1 858 550 6030

This information is a general description of the law; it is not intended to provide specific legal advice nor is it intended to create an attorney-client relationship with Cooley LLP. Before taking any action on this information you should seek professional counsel.

Copyright © 2023 Cooley LLP, 3175 Hanover Street, Palo Alto, CA 94304; Cooley (UK) LLP, 22 Bishopsgate, London, UK EC2N 4BQ. Permission is granted to make and redistribute, without charge, copies of this entire document provided that such copies are complete and unaltered and identify Cooley LLP as the author. All other rights reserved.