

CFPB Highlights Financial, Privacy Risks of Consumer Transactions on Gaming Platforms

April 9, 2024

On April 4, 2024, the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (CFPB) [issued a report](#) examining the growth and privacy risks of financial transactions in online video games and virtual worlds, noting that Americans spent approximately \$57 billion on gaming transactions in 2023. The report looks at these assets' growing use and scale across the gaming industry, the associated consumer risks, and the evolution of games and virtual worlds into online marketplaces.

[Gaming-specific services can resemble traditional financial products](#), and the CFPB expressed concern that the infrastructure supporting online transactions in nontraditional marketplaces lacks a similar consumer protection regime required of traditional markets.

The report's findings

The CFPB's report analyzed the financial services aspects of the gaming marketplace, including using virtual currencies in games and virtual worlds. The bureau's three key findings – and the risks facing consumers in gaming marketplaces – are listed below.

1. Gaming products and services resemble conventional financial products but often lack the same protections.

Online ecosystems, including games and virtual worlds, enable players to store and transfer assets (e.g., in-game currencies and virtual items). The bureau considers these online ecosystems and their financial products increasingly indistinguishable from real-world marketplaces. As evidenced by [newly embedded financial offerings in the gaming industry](#), companies provide services and products that resemble traditional financial instruments such as loans and proprietary payment systems to facilitate online financial activity.

2. Gaming companies provide little customer support when consumers experience financial harm.

As the value of in-game assets increases, a parallel rise in scams, phishing attempts and account thefts has followed. The CFPB says it has received numerous consumer complaints about hacking attempts, theft and unauthorized forfeiture of gaming assets. Consumers contend they have little recourse with gaming companies when they suffer losses, and game publishers assert no duty to compensate players for financial losses, including when service to a game is suspended or when players request unused virtual content or points after their account is closed.

3. Gaming companies are collecting and using large amounts of players' personal information, including sensitive personal information, in ways that trigger privacy and consumer protection concerns.

Publishers handle large amounts of data on players, including sensitive personal information such as health data (e.g., heart rate or symptoms that suggest medical conditions), biometric data (e.g., iris scans, gait or movement patterns), geolocation data and behavioral data (e.g., purchasing history and responses to personalized incentives). Publishers may correlate this personal data

with information obtained from social media. Players' personal information may be used for profiling, misleading them about the availability of in-game goods, price discrimination, and highly targeted advertising or offerings. The CFPB is evaluating publishers' compliance with privacy regulations, and whether consumers are appropriately aware of how their personal information is collected and used across the industry.

Looking forward

The bureau recognizes that the role of banks and consumer finance products within gaming is evolving, and the current trend indicates that traditional financial services will continue to be introduced on gaming platforms. Accordingly, the CFPB indicates it is monitoring this nontraditional market where financial products and services may not comply with the criterion set by existing consumer protection laws applicable to traditional banking and payment systems. Businesses in the virtual world providing financial services that potentially harm consumers' finances and privacy may be subject to CFPB jurisdiction.

Additionally, given that embedded financial services activities within games are becoming analogous to traditional regulated payment services, such activities also may be subject to state and federal regulatory oversight and, in some cases, licensing or registration. For example, such activities could trigger obligations under state and federal money transmission and virtual currency laws and "loss recovery" statutes (as, in particular, exemptions from such laws for "in-game" virtual currencies are narrow and generally do not permit cash-out functionality or cross-game exchanges), as well as coverage under the federal Electronic Fund Transfer Act and its implementing Regulation E. Gaming companies and other industry participants should review relevant regulations to determine which laws apply. The bureau also asserts that emerging trends in the gaming market will be of interest to policymakers and other government agencies, such as the Federal Trade Commission, to ensure consumers are adequately protected.

This content is provided for general informational purposes only, and your access or use of the content does not create an attorney-client relationship between you or your organization and Cooley LLP, Cooley (UK) LLP, or any other affiliated practice or entity (collectively referred to as "Cooley"). By accessing this content, you agree that the information provided does not constitute legal or other professional advice. This content is not a substitute for obtaining legal advice from a qualified attorney licensed in your jurisdiction and you should not act or refrain from acting based on this content. This content may be changed without notice. It is not guaranteed to be complete, correct or up to date, and it may not reflect the most current legal developments. Prior results do not guarantee a similar outcome. Do not send any confidential information to Cooley, as we do not have any duty to keep any information you provide to us confidential. This content may be considered **Attorney Advertising** and is subject to our [legal notices](#).

Key Contacts

Adam Fleisher Washington, DC	afleisher@cooley.com +1 202 776 2027
H Joshua Kotin Chicago	jkotin@cooley.com +1 312 881 6674

Obrea Poindexter Washington, DC	opoindexter@cooley.com +1 202 776 2997
Michelle L. Rogers Washington, DC	mrogers@cooley.com +1 202 776 2227
Sean Ruff Washington, DC	sruff@cooley.com +1 202 776 2999
Teresa Michaud Los Angeles Downtown	tmichaud@cooley.com +1 213 561 3241
Christian Lee San Francisco	christian.lee@cooley.com +1 415 693 2143
Demisse Selassie Washington, DC	dselassie@cooley.com +1 202 776 2201

This information is a general description of the law; it is not intended to provide specific legal advice nor is it intended to create an attorney-client relationship with Cooley LLP. Before taking any action on this information you should seek professional counsel.

Copyright © 2023 Cooley LLP, 3175 Hanover Street, Palo Alto, CA 94304; Cooley (UK) LLP, 22 Bishopsgate, London, UK EC2N 4BQ. Permission is granted to make and redistribute, without charge, copies of this entire document provided that such copies are complete and unaltered and identify Cooley LLP as the author. All other rights reserved.